

CITY OF GROVE CITY, OHIO
COUNCIL MINUTES

May 21, 2001

Regular Meeting

The regular meeting of Council was called to order by President Bennett, at 8:00 p.m. in the Council Chamber, City Hall, 4035 Broadway.

After a moment of silent prayer and the Pledge of Allegiance, roll was called and the following members were present:

Chris Fulton Vaughn Radi Steve Bennett Budd Eversman Maria Klemack

1. Mr. Radi moved to dispense with the reading of the minutes for the previous meeting and approve as written, with the correction of the vote under CR-33-01: Mr. Fulton should show "yes" and Mr. Radi should show "no"; seconded by President Bennett.

Mr. Fulton	Yes
Mr. Radi	Yes
Mr. Bennett	Yes
Mr. Eversman	Yes
Ms. Klemack	Yes

2. President Bennett recognized Mayor Grossman for a presentation to the Town Center Merchants for their efforts with the From Our Hearts Campaign.
3. President Bennett read the agenda items and they were approved by unanimous consent.

The Chair recognized Mr. Radi, chairman of the Lands & Zoning Committee, for discussion and voting of legislative agenda items under said committee.

1. Ordinance C-31-01 (Approve a Special Use Permit for an Outdoor Concert for the Relay For Life Event located at the Grove City High School Track Field for June 15 & 16, 2001 only) was given its second reading and public hearing.

Mr. Rich Lester, Logistics Chair for the Relay for Life, and Christina Vargo, Staff person for the American Cancer Society, were present. Ms. Vargo explained that the Relay for Life is an 18-hour event that raises funds for the programs and services that the Cancer Society provides. There are people that take turns walking the track during the entire time. During the 18 hours, they do provide entertainment, food and different activities. In accordance with the Code, they will only play soft-toned music within the designated hours. They have gone door-to-door in the adjoining subdivisions to explain the event and give them their phone number, as well as Sgt. Mathews number as contacts. They have received approval from South Western City Schools for use of the facility.

Mr. Eversman commented that his only concern is with the music playing all evening. He asked Mr. Lester what they would be doing to see that the closest neighbors would not be complaining about the music. Mr. Lester said the first thing they did was to go to all the adjacent homeowners on Clay burn Drive and other neighbors within Hoover Crossing, leaving flyers for them. They also did this on Clark Drive and Annabelle. He said they would have police officers in attendance until midnight. They will not only enforce their local

functions, but will also assist that the sound does meet the City's requirements. He said they would not have a band playing after 11:00 p.m. He said that radios would be in campsites and one small radio on the stage. All will be kept at a low tone. Mr. Eversman confirmed that the bands would stop playing at 11:00 p.m. and asked if they would start back up the next day. Mr. Lester said he thinks they have a band scheduled for 10:00 a.m. the next morning, but there will be nothing in between.

There being no additional questions or comments, Mr. Radi moved it be approved; seconded by President Bennett.

Mr. Radi	Yes
Mr. Bennett	Yes
Mr. Eversman	Yes
Ms. Klemack	Yes
Mr. Fulton	Yes

2. Ordinance C-36-01 (Approve a Special Use Permit for Vehicle Leasing Services located at 4090 Hoover Road) was given its first reading. Second reading and public hearing will be held on June 4, 2001.
3. Resolution CR-31-01 (Approve the Preliminary Development Plan for Southcross Properties located South of Orders Road and West of I-71) was given its reading and public hearing.

President Bennett stated that the petitioner has requested this project be withdrawn. However, since there are so many in attendance, if individuals still wished to speak on this issue, the Council Rules allow for three opponents and three proponents and they would allow five minutes each for these speakers. He said it is his understanding that the developer has lost their option on the property and the project will not move forward.

Ms. Kathleen Fought, Orders Rd., thanked Council for allowing them to participate in the process. She said they have an affidavit that has 100% participation in, or guaranteed to sign. The issues are the same: 1. Opposing the rezoning; 2. Opposing the introduction of apartments on Orders Road; 3. The installation of a traffic light at the intersection of Orders and Hoover Roads; 4. A comprehensive traffic study be conducted before any additional development is considered by Planning Commission or Council. She said their property is directly east of this parcel and the proposed road would go along their entire western property line. They oppose the population density of the new development and the location of the new road, in particular. There are three large acres being developed along Hoover Road (this development, the corner of Haughn and Orders, and the acreage north of Southern Grove). If the number of houses in these three developments has three cars per family, 2500 cars could be added to Orders Road daily. There has been no traffic study done for Orders Road, and regardless of the number of north/south throughways, anyone going to G.C. High School or the Nazarene church will take Orders to Hoover. Their deed restrictions required them to have a turn-around because it was unsafe to back out on to Orders Road. She said that was 12 years, and one fatality, ago. At the very least, a traffic light is needed at Orders and Hoover Roads now. She also feels that having a 1,000-foot straight away is irresponsible. There is no reason to have the road located where it is on the property. When they purchased their property, there was no north/south road proposed for this area. Haughn Road was to be straightened out and would be the logical north/south road. When the corner of Haughn and Orders is developed, that jog in Haughn Road will be straightened out. Also, there is another

north/south road being proposed, east of Hoover Road. There is no north road going into the Hoover Crossing subdivision and none on the horizon. If this new road and the Hoover/Orders intersection are moved, there will be five streets coming together at the corner of their property and one of them will be a 1,000-foot drag strip. If traffic isn't slowed down on Orders and widened to three lanes, it won't matter where the streets come out. Orders Road will resemble a demolition derby. She believes that any road for this development should go through the center. They are now on a fixed income and cannot afford privacy fencing, extra security, etc. She said they have always bragged about Grove City and how they plan for development. She asked that they, Please, not let the residents of Orders Road down now.

Mr. Radi noted that 46 other people had signed up to speak on this issue. He asked if any others would like to speak, at this time.

Mr. Steve Goble, Orders Rd, commented that there was a pretty good rain last Wednesday and he happened to get some pictures. He provided a copy to Council. He said they have a severe water problem in the area and he knows how retention ponds are supposed to work. He also had pictures of Hoover Crossing and when we get this amount of water, they don't work. His picture shows the retention pond overflowing on to Hoover Road. He also said in the summer, when everyone is watering their grass, there isn't enough pressure to flush your toilet. He said he does this stuff for a living and hopes they listen to his word. He said they just don't want apartments in there.

Mr. Mike Widner, Orders Rd., spoke on the school impact of this type of zoning. He provided a letter from South-Western City Schools that states that, in general, high-density housing has a negative impact on schools. The revenue generated, doesn't cover the cost of educating the students. The High School is at 138% capacity and some of the new schools are already close to capacity. Before allowing for apartment housing, he feels that the impact this will have on our school system and neighborhoods should be looked at. He said they have put a lot of blood, sweat and tears into their property and they don't need all the traffic.

Mr. Radi commented that they are in receipt of a resolution with 61 signatures regarding this issue and he has received about 14 phone calls. He thanked those calling him for being polite and cordial in making their points. He also appreciated their participation. Mr. Eversman reiterated that not only did the request for this Preliminary Plan to be withdrawn, it also included the Rezoning request.

There being no additional questions or comments, Mr. Radi moved to withdraw this resolution, as requested by the petitioner; seconded by Mr. Eversman.

Mr. Eversman	Yes
Ms. Klemack	Yes
Mr. Fulton	Yes
Mr. Radi	Yes
Mr. Bennett	Yes

4. Resolution CR-34-01 (Approve the Sign Permit for Serenity Concepts located at 3838 Broadway in the Historical Preservation Area) was given its reading and public hearing.

Although there was no representation, Mr. Stage, City Administrator, suggested Council proceed. The petitioner did attend two Planning Commission meetings for this. Mr. Radi did read the stipulations set by Planning Commission. President Bennett said he hesitated to proceed, as he has questions regarding their "drawn in" landscaping. He asked if they were aware that landscaping was required. Mr. Stage said yes. President Bennett asked Mr. Lathrop if he had any contact with the petitioner, Mr. Lathrop, Urban Forester, said no. President Bennett asked if the sign was suppose to portray a house with a chimney. Mr. Stage said yes. President Bennett said petitioners are required to be here and, as you can see, there are some questions regarding the project. However, if Mr. Stage feels comfortable proceeding, he would. Mayor Grossman commented that she feels very comfortable that what is before Council will compliment the HPA. Planning Commission spent a lot of time with the petitioner and she believes they understand the sign to be in compliance with Code. President Bennett asked that Planning Commission remind petitioners of their requirement to be at the Council Meeting. Mr. Stage said they have started sending out cards.

There being no additional questions or comments, Mr. Radi moved it be approved; seconded by Mr. Fulton.

Ms. Klemack	Yes
Mr. Fulton	Yes
Mr. Radi	Yes
Mr. Bennett	Yes
Mr. Eversman	Yes

5. Resolution CR-35-01 (Approve the Sign Permit for Nora's Coffee Corner located at 4075 Broadway in the Historical Preservation Area) was given its reading and public hearing.

Mr. John Matera, petitioner, was present to answer any questions. Mr. Radi read the stipulations set by Planning Commission, which Mr. Matera agreed to. President Bennett asked about the colors. Mr. Matera said the colors are from the HPA Chart and the background will be kidskin; letters will be burnished mahogany; there is pale cadet and russet in the coffee cup.

There being no additional questions or comments, Mr. Radi moved it be approved; seconded by Mr. Eversman.

Mr. Fulton	Yes
Mr. Radi	Yes
Mr. Bennett	Yes
Mr. Eversman	Yes
Ms. Klemack	Yes

6. Resolution CR-36-01 (Approve the Preliminary Development Plan for 116+ acres located East of I-71 and South of Stringtown Road) was given its reading and public hearing.

Mr. Donald Plank, attorney for the applicants (Greenlawn Partner Realty & Stringtown Partner LLC), provided a review of the project and its location. He said there would be approximately 51 acres of retail, with a key part of the development being the extension of Buckeye Parkway, from Stringtown to White Road. As you move from north to south, there

are approximately 20 acres for apartments and 26 acres for condominiums. There are also east/west roads to service properties to the properties on either side. He said they are looking for approval in principal only and will submit final Development Plans, probably in sections.

Mr. Eversman commented that there has been much discussion tonight about apartments in the community and this plan has apartments noted on it. He said they have spoken before about apartments and condos and the desirability of where they fit in to the scheme of things. He reminded Mr. Plank that Council is voting on the concept and not the specifics of the project. Mr. Plank said retail is low density and we are not going to see a whole lot of density as you go south. Apartments in the proper location makes sense and believe that they would be a good transition from the retail, down to the residential on White Road. President Bennett asked what the density of R-1b is. Mr. Kohman, City's Consulting Engineer, said approximately 3.3 homes per acre. President Bennett noted that the projected density for this project is 8 units per acre versus a much higher density for the other project proposed this evening. Mr. Eversman commented that he realizes that if we all what to see the type of retail being proposed in the community, there may be trade-offs to get that retail and the stores we are looking for. Mr. Stage said staff has requested that Mr. Plank submit another Preliminary Development Plan with the road aligned, as discussed in Caucus. Also, they have gone through the "text" for the retail center twice, but they haven't looked at the residential side yet. Mr. Plank said they are really ahead of themselves on the "text", as this is typically not done until the Development Plan is submitted. However, they feel it is appropriate for a development of this size. They realize that road alignments will shift, once engineering is complete, but they still need principal approval this evening. He said they would provide a more detailed plan that shows the road system. Mr. Fulton asked how far the demographics go. Mr. Plank said they have partnered with Continental Development and they say this is more of a regional shopping center that will draw from more than just the Grove City area. They have paid attention to what is going on in Pickaway County, Commercial Point and the Georgesville Road area and feel it will draw from those areas. They are very happy with this location. They hope to serve the south end of Franklin County and beyond.

Mr. Kenneth Golonka, Jr., attorney representing Columbus Corporate Center and Lucas State Stringtown Ltd, which are owners of two parcel of property between this property and I-71. When the subject parcel was originally zoned in 1996, as PUD, his client's properties were part of that zoning and the Plan. They were surprised a couple of months ago by a notice that indicated a PUD project was going forward and his client's were not invited to the party. In the late 90's, there was a lot of discussion, investment and an annexation to the City that was part of the PUD zoning. It has always been the view of his client's that all this property ought to be developed together. He said they do not oppose the condominium project in general. However, they do object to a couple of significant changes, those being: the movement of Buckeye Parkway of about 1,000 - 1,500 feet further to the east. They feel this cuts off their access. They also feel that by developing the ground without their property, you are leaving out that portion, which is seen from the freeway. If it isn't developed in a meaningful way, the parcels along the freeway will have no, or very minimal low quality development, and then they get out to the high quality development. All they really want is to try and develop this together. He said based on the way the property came into the City and was zoned, they believe they have certain rights that they don't want to loose. Even in concept, they feel they will be stripped of their rights. They really want to work together with Continental, but if this keeps moving forward at full speed, the planning comes on their agenda. They need some meaningful support, so the area next to the freeway is not thwarted. They asked Planning

Commission to set this aside for 30 days and they understand that community is excited about the project, as they should be. It is a good project, but it won't be in the long run if you choke off the development along I-71. He requested that Council not support this project until his client's have had a chance to meet and, perhaps work this out. He noted that every retailer in the world is in Las Vegas for a conference and hopefully they can meet in the next couple of weeks, and come back stating that they are in full support. However, now they believe this will have a negative impact on his client's property.

Mr. Radi asked Mr. Stage to comment on the location of Buckeye Parkway. Mr. Stage said there have been several renditions of Buckeye Parkway. He said they did meet with Mr. Casto, late last year and showed him the alignment of Buckeye Parkway. This project moves it a certain number of feet further east, but basically, the alignment is about where it was - as of last year. When this was zoned and planned, at that time, the position was closer (coming out of Thistlewood). Mr. Radi commented that this Preliminary Plan does provide for a future road between Parcel B and A. Mr. Stage stated that it actually allows for two roads. One will access the BP property, further north. The other will be just above the apartments and is almost an exact alignment of the original PUD Plan, when Mr. Casto brought it in, in 1996. Mr. Plank added a little history and explained that they have already been in contact with the Casto organization. He said it is a little more involved than two big companies going at each other. He explained that Casto owns two pieces of property to the west; Continental has contracted for the retail portion; but he also has a client - Greenlawn Realty, the Yonkin's, that have owned the subject track for quite some time. Before Continental ever got involved, he and the Yonkin's took a look at where Buckeye Parkway was going, and it was going through his client's property. So, he told them that they, somewhat, control where the road goes - especially if they end up giving it to the City. With that, Mr. Yonkin went out and purchased another piece of ground, so the road could be move a little further to the east and line up with the property he purchased to the north. Five years ago, Casto had all of Mr. Yonkin's property under contract and then chose not to purchase it. Because of that, Mr. Yonkin had now had to initiate the development. Both parties are meeting in Las Vegas this week and if a deal can be struck, he is sure his client will listen. In the meantime, they are providing access to the west and are willing to make it as wide as necessary to make sure the western property could be a great office complex. They think it works well with the retail and apartment complex. However, they do not want to give any impression to interested retailers that there is any problem. As explained to Planning Commission, it is important to move forward without any hesitation. There will be about two months before a Development Plan is put together and will have opportunity to speak with the Casto group in the meantime.

President Bennett stated that there is an existing curb cut next to the BP station that provides access from the north to the Casto property. He asked if that curb cut would remain. Mr. Plank said it was his understanding that, at some point, it would become right in/right out due to the improvements on Stringtown Road. President Bennett said he understood that part of the reason for the realignment of Buckeye Parkway is, not only will it be a major north/south corridor from S.R. 665 to Stringtown, the realignment of power lines made the configuration more plausible. Mr. Plank said that was correct. President Bennett asked how long this property has been sitting undeveloped, since zoning. Mr. Stage said it was zoned in January 1996. President Bennett asked why the Casto group had not opted to develop this property in the last five and one-half years. Mr. Golonka said there were several plans. The most memorable was the deal with Meijer. Them Meijer pulled out of all its development in Central Ohio. Since that time, they have been trying to figure out a good arrangement and

partnership to make it work. He reiterated that they do not object to Continental's plan, in general. They just want to be part of it and don't want the piece along the freeway to be carved out from the overall consideration or from an access standpoint. They, also, don't want someone telling them they can't put something along the freeway and doesn't compete. He is asking Council to require that they plan and work together. There was a PUD at one time, to develop this together and with Council's guidance and direction, they can make that happen again. Mr. Clark, Dir. of Law, pointed out that this is strictly a Preliminary plan. It is conceptual in nature and it's bringing forth the project to get some reaction of Council as to whether it is feasible or not. There will be plenty of opportunity to join parties before final approval. Mr. Eversman clarified that there has been conversation in the past with the two parties. Mr. Plank said yes, there was an earlier meeting, but it obviously didn't solve anything, as they are here tonight. Mr. Eversman encouraged continuing discussions, but noted that it was for each party to work out.

There being no further questions or comments, Mr. Radi moved it be approved; seconded by President Bennett.

Mr. Radi	Yes
Mr. Bennett	Yes
Mr. Eversman	Yes
Ms. Klemack	Yes
Mr. Fulton	Yes

7. Resolution CR-37-01 (Authorize the Mayor to enter into an Agreement with the South Western City School District for Services to be provided to schools located at Big Run/Holt Road upon Annexation of the property) was given its reading and public hearing.

Mayor Grossman commented that this is an Agreement that SWCS has already agreed to. It sets down the obligations of each party at the property on Holt and Big Run Roads.

Mr. Eversman noted that in Section 2, there is a reference that we will provide police services, snow removal, care and maintenance to Holt and Big Run Roads along the boundaries and in the annexed property. Just to make it clear, the snow removal and care and maintenance will not be provided for the school project. Mr. Stage confirmed that the discussions and intent is for only the peripheral properties for public transportation. Mr. Eversman mentioned that in Section 3, it states that a full-time Police Officer will be at both of the High Schools, on days when school is in session. He confirmed that this also means only during the hours that school is in session. Mr. Stage said that was correct. Finally, under Section 6 it states that upon annexation, Grove City will agree to amend its Building and Zoning Code to create a mutually acceptable Education zoning classification with mutually acceptable building regulations. Mr. Eversman shared concern over promising future legislation, but feels more comfortable know that it must be mutual and may never happen. Mr. Stage said this Section is clearly just intent.

There being no additional questions or comments, Mr. Radi moved it be approved; seconded by Mr. Eversman.

Mr. Bennett	Yes
Mr. Eversman	Yes
Ms. Klemack	Yes
Mr. Fulton	Yes
Mr. Radi	Yes

The Chair recognized Ms. Klemack, Chairman of the Safety Committee, for discussion and voting of legislative agenda items under said committee.

1. Ordinance C-28-01 (Amend Various Sections of Chapter 1136 titled Landscaping) was given its second reading and public hearing.

Mr. Lathrop, Urban Forester, stated that as discussed in caucus, the suggested change to 1136.06(c) only take out the words “. . . and 40 square feet of perennial landscape bed . . .”.

President Bennett moved to make all the amendments suggested on the attached list, with the exception of #5 and with the rewording of #3, as explained by Mr. Lathrop; seconded by Ms. Klemack.

Mr. Eversman	Yes
Ms. Klemack	Yes
Mr. Fulton	Yes
Mr. Radi	Yes
Mr. Bennett	Yes

Editor’s Note: Amendments are as follows:

1. 1136.05 (a) “*Unless otherwise approved by Council,*” this chapter defines the minimum requirements
2. 1136.05(b) to read, in part: . . . Required quantities shall not be reduced, *except as determined by the Urban Forester.*
3. Section 1136.06(c) to remove the language: . . . *and 40 square feet of perennial landscape bed . . .*; and add: *The developer is encouraged to consider including additional planting beds containing annual and/or perennial flowers to increase the aesthetic appeal of the parking side of the screen.*
4. Section 1136.06(a)(3) to be amended to show 40’ rather than 35’.

Mr. Eversman commented that this change is going to add more beautification to our city, but it comes at a price for the developer/business owner. He said the reason for the amendments, which he and some other Council Members had suggested, are to keep in perspective the cost of any additional changes to the Landscape Code.

Mr. Stage highlighted that these changes now require entry features in developments and gives more flexibility in dealing with unusual land situations (e.g. CVS, Tim Horton’s, Dr. Feldkamp).

There being no additional questions or comments, Ms. Klemack moved it be approved, as amended; seconded by Mr. Radi.

Ms. Klemack	Yes
Mr. Fulton	Yes
Mr. Radi	Yes
Mr. Bennett	Yes
Mr. Eversman	Yes

2. Ordinance C-33-01 (Amend the Codified Ordinances of Grove City, Ohio to include a new Chapter 1143 titled Exterior Building Alterations or Modifications) was given its second reading and public hearing.

Mr. Radi explained that this is a collaborative effort from a number of people. It's purpose is to preserve Development Plans and all the effort given, by staff, Planning Commission and Council, to see that Code is met and the individual aspects of each project, as they go through the process. He thanked Chamber of Commerce members Bob Wright, Stan Smith and Larry Titus for their input and willingness to meet over three months on this issue. He also thanked Administrative Staff: Mr. Stage, Mr. Schweitzer and Ms. Bearden for their input, as well as Mike Boso and Planning Commission Chairman David Dye who made modifications to make it very workable for Grove City.

Mr. Bob Wright, representing the Chamber of Commerce, stated that the Board of Directors is requesting that this ordinance be tabled until the first meeting in June. They feel that it is such a dramatic change in the way businesses will need to make changes to their exterior structures. They want to have a public forum to discuss this and let them know what they will be required to do and asked that Council participate with them, on this. Ms. Klemack said it was her understanding that the Chamber has already sent letters to the members on this. Mr. Wright said that was correct. They did send notices to their membership, however, the forum would be opened up to all business owners within the City so they are aware of the Ordinance. He said they are not speaking for or against the Ordinance, they just want it tabled to be able have this public forum. Mr. Eversman asked why the Chamber is so concerned about this Ordinance versus the Landscape Ordinance, that was just passed, that affects at a monetary cost the changes a business would do. He said he is a business owner and Chamber Member and received the Alter message. He said based on his painting taste, he would probably be affected by this ordinance. There has been plenty of time for both the Landscape and this ordinance to be discussed by the Chamber. In fact, Mr. Wright was very involved in a meeting he was in with Mr. Radi concerning this legislation. He said if there was a significant concern over this ordinance, it should have been shown on the prior ordinance as well. Considering the notice and lack of phone calls or attention that has been brought to them, he doesn't feel it is as big of a concern as the impression of the Member Alert gave. As a business owner, he is not crazy about everything that is in the ordinance, but if it needs to be "tweaked" a little down the road, they can certainly make amendments. He asked if Mr. Wright was able to find out who brought this before the Chamber Board, and not the other ordinance. Mr. Wright said he was unable to find out that information. President Bennett commented that this ordinance does not restrict the rights of business owners to express their desires to maintain, other than a homogenous look. It is not intended to have everyone's buildings look alike. However, they do feel they have the right to preserve the flavor of our community, notwithstanding large Corporate America to come in and change their designs/buildings to meet a "shock value" to attract people from the freeway. We have recently seen this done with brick buildings, where they have been changed to be more "eye-catching". This ordinance intends to say, if a major change to a structure is desired, you need make the City aware and get approval. He said there are pieces of this in other sections of the Code, but this brings it together in one particular piece. He said this is a work-in-progress, just as we have seen with 1136 - Landscaping. Council & Administration is striving to see that things are workable in this community. Mr. Eversman said if the ordinance passes tonight, it should not prohibit the Chamber of having a Public Forum for potential changes. He would be willing to attend and consider any changes that may come from it.

There being no additional questions or comments, Ms. Klemack moved it be approved; seconded by President Bennett.

Mr. Fulton	Yes
Mr. Radi	Yes
Mr. Bennett	Yes
Mr. Eversman	Yes
Ms. Klemack	Yes

The Chair recognized Mr. Eversman, Chairman of the Finance Committee, for discussion and voting of legislative agenda items under said committee.

1. Ordinance C-30-01 (Appropriate \$520,000.00 from the General Fund for the Current Expense of Property Acquisition) was given its second reading and public hearing.

Mr. Stage commented that we are going through a Stage 1 Environmental Assessment and a tank has been found with about 500 gallons. Champaign Landmark has agreed to take the tank out and depending on the statements made by the Consulting Engineer, they will decide if a Stage 2 assessment is needed. He feels they should go ahead and proceed with the Ordinance.

There being no additional questions or comments, Mr. Eversman moved it be approved; seconded by Mr. Radi.

Mr. Radi	Yes
Mr. Bennett	Yes
Mr. Eversman	Yes
Ms. Klemack	Yes
Mr. Fulton	Yes

2. Ordinance C-34-01 (Appropriate \$37,000.00 from the General Fund for the Current Expense of Remodeling City Hall) was given its second reading and public hearing.

Mr. Stage said the City is under a space planning review with a firm they have contracted with. They did discuss this, however, he believes there is no problem in proceeding. Mr. Eversman asked Mr. Hurley if he was in favor of this. Mr. Hurley said yes.

There being no additional questions or comments, Mr. Eversman moved it be approved; seconded by Mr. Radi.

Mr. Bennett	Yes
Mr. Eversman	Yes
Ms. Klemack	Yes
Mr. Fulton	Yes
Mr. Radi	Yes

3. Ordinance C-35-01 (Appropriate \$12,190.00 from the Community Environment Fund for the Current Expense of The Keep Grove City Beautiful Program and Authorize the City Administrator to Enter into a Recycling Grant Agreement with The Solid Waste Authority of Central Ohio) was given its second reading and public hearing.

President Bennett announced that May is Sertoma Better Speech and Hearing Month and invited people to take efforts to protect their hearing. With regard to 1143, it is somewhat less restrictive than the HPA requirements. Also, with the 400+ members of the Chamber, he finds it interesting that not one person showed up in opposition of this.

3. After additional comments from Administration, the Chamber and Council, a motion was made to adjourn and seconded. Motion carried.

Council adjourned at 9:57 p.m.



Tami K. Kelly, CMC/AAE
Clerk of Council



Steven M. Bennett
President